Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/582,839	MARFATIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ZIAUL A. CHOWDHURY	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) ZIAUL A. CHOWDHURY.	(3) Rajiv P. Patel (Reg. N	lo. 39,327).
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 June 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 7 and 13 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/ZIAUL A. CHOWDHURY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with Applicants' representative Mr. Rajiv P. Patel on June 9th, 2011 and proposed amendments to the claims 1, 7 and 13 in order to obviate any non-atatutory subject matter issues as such that the claims include "a processor" a tangible hardware component in the claims recitation.

Examiner faxed a copy of "Examiner Amendments" to Mr. Patel and further pointed out minor gramatical corrections should be done as well.

Mr. Patel agreed upon the proposal and provided the authorization to the proposed amendments by returned fax.